	AKAY SULL LLP DOUGLAS N. AKAY, STATE BAR #131011 HARJIT K. SULL, STATE BAR #238458		
3	333 Bush Street, Suite 2250 San Francisco, California 94104		
4	(415) 764-1999 (telephone) (415) 764-1994 (facsimile)		
5	dnakay@akaylaw.com		
6	Attorneys for GARMAN GROUP, LLC and RYAN GARMAN		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	GARMAN GROUP, LLC, a Nevada limited	No.11-CV-03733-JCS	
12	liability company, and RYAN GARMAN, an individual, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION AND INCOMPAND INCOM		
13	Plaintiff,	DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)	
14	v.	TORSOM (TO ROLL 41(u)	
15	UNIVERSITY PIPELINE, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOM UNGER, an individual, and		
16	DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE entities I through X, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20	Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan		
21	Graman ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant Tom Unger ("Defendant"), through their respective counsel,		
22	respectfully submit this Stipulation and Proposed Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Without		
23	Prejudice.		
24	4 RECITALS		
25	1. Plaintiffs filed the Complaint on December 10, 2010, in the District Court of		
26	Nevada, against University Pipeline, Inc., and Tom Unger for breach of contract, breach of		
27	covenants of good faith and fair dealing, tortious breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing,		
28	breach of fiduciary, fraud, and unjust enrichment.		

2	On February 2, 2011, Defendant Unger filed a Notice of Removal of Action, und	der
28 USC	§§1332, 1441, 1446, from Nevada District Court to the United States District Court for	r
Nevada.		

- 3. On February 9, 2011, Defendant Unger filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, or in the Alterative, Transfer Venue, which the Court granted in part on July 22, 2011, and issued an order transferring venue to the Northern District of California.
- 4. On January 26, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a), to dismiss the action against Defendant Unger. Concurrently, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice as to University Pipeline, Inc.
- 5. On March 30, 2012, the Court conditionally granted the Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice, subject to payment of reasonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant Unger in connection with the Motion to Transfer Venue only.
- 6. On April 6, 2012, Defendant's counsel filed a declaration requesting attorneys fees in the amount of \$14,339.20, for the amount claimed Defendant Unger incurred in connection with the Motion to Transfer Venue only.
- 7. On April 20, 2012, Plaintiffs' counsel filed a declaration seeking to tax portions of the attorneys fees claimed to have been incurred by Defendant Unger, to wit, Plaintiffs requested the Court reduce Defendant's attorneys fees to an amount no greater than \$10,813.

STIPULATION

Based on the foregoing Recitals, and subject to this Court's approval, Plaintiffs and Defendant agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. For purposes of granting the Motion to Dismiss without Prejudice, subject to payment of reasonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant in filing the Motion to Transfer Venue only, the parties stipulate the reasonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant Unger is \$11,250.
- 2. The attorneys fees of \$11,250 shall be paid within ten (10) days of the date of entry of this Order in a check made payable to "Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP".
 - 3. The instant action shall be dismissed without prejudice upon delivery of said

1	attorneys fees to Defendant's counsel, Daniel A. Croley, at Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier		
2	LLP at 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104		
3	IT SO STIPULATED.		
4	Dated: April 24, 2012 FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER LLP		
5			
6	By: /s/ Daniel A. Croley DANIEL A. CROLEY		
7	Attorneys for Defendant, Tom Unger		
8			
9	Dated: April 24, 2012 AKAY SULL LLP		
10	•		
11	By:/s/ Douglas N. Akay		
12	DOUGLAS N. AKAY Attorneys for Plaintiffs,		
13	Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman		
14			
15	ORDER		
16	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED THAT:		
17	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and		
18	Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A.		
19	Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.		
20	2. If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been		
21	received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss		
22	the instant action without prejudice.		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	E NED		
25	Dated: April 25, 2012 By: Hon. Joseph C. Spero		
26	United Stres wagistrate Judge		
27	DISTRICTOR		
28			